

Application Number 	Application/Control No. 10/814,625	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination SEGARAM, PARA K.
Document Code - DISQ		Internal Document – DO NOT MAIL

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPROVED	<input type="checkbox"/> DISAPPROVED
Date Filed : March 23, 2006	This patent is subject to a Terminal Disclaimer	

Approved/Disapproved by:

Henry D. Jefferson

T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

Date:	31-Mar-06	APPL. S. N.:	10814625
To Examiner:	PHU, PHUONG M.	Art Unit	2611
From	Jefferson, Henry	Return This Memo To: Case Drop-Off Location	JEF-2D68
SUBJECT: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer(T.D.) filed:			

INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. **THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY.**
IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete,

please initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU.

The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23).

The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24):

- The TD fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account
- The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01).
- The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause - needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).
- The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).
- The person who signed the T.D.:
 - is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).
 - has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).
 - is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).
- No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).
- The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).
- The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).
- The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).
- The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).
- Other:
- Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.

I have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.

Ex.Initials: _____ Date: _____

Log Date: _____



Patent Application
Attorney Docket No.: 57941.000079
Client Reference No.: RA162.C1.US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: :
Para K. SEGARAM : Group Art Unit: 2182
Appln. No.: 10/814,625 :
Filed: April 1, 2004 : Examiner: Phuong M. Phu
For: HIGH-SPEED COMMUNICATION :
SYSTEM WITH A FEEDBACK :
SYNCHRONIZATION LOOP :
:

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

03/27/2006 MBYEENE1 00000049 500286 10814625
01 FC:1614 138.00 DA

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TO OBLIGATE DOUBLE PATENTING
REJECTION OVER A PRIOR PATENT

Sir:

The owner, Rambus Inc., of the entire interest in the instant patent application (as evidenced by the assignment recorded on November 17, 2003, at Reel 014771, Frame 0846) hereby disclaims, except as provided below, the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent granted on the instant patent application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term defined in 35 U.S.C. § 154 to § 156 and § 173, as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,775,328, the entire interest in the prior patent being owned by said Rambus Inc. (as evidenced by the assignment recorded on November 17, 2003, at Reel 014771,

Patent Application
Attorney Docket No.: 57941.000079
Client Reference No.: RA162.C1.US

Frame 0846). The owner hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the instant patent application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that it and the prior patent are commonly owned. This agreement runs with any patent granted on the instant patent application and is binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns.

In making the above disclaimer, the owner does not disclaim the terminal part of any patent granted on the instant patent application that would extend to the expiration date of the full statutory term as defined in 35 U.S.C. § 154 to § 156 and § 173 of the prior patent, as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer, in the event that the prior patent later: expires for failure to pay a maintenance fee, is held unenforceable, is found invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, is statutorily disclaimed in whole or terminally disclaimed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.321, has all claims canceled by a reexamination certificate, is reissued, or is in any manner terminated prior to the expiration of its full statutory term as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false

Patent Application
Attorney Docket No.: 57941.000079
Client Reference No.: RA162.C1.US

statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Please charge the \$130.00 fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d) covering the cost of filing this Terminal Disclaimer to Deposit Account No. 50-0206. Any deficiency or overpayment should be charged or credited to Deposit Account No. 50-0206.

Respectfully submitted,

Hunton & Williams LLP

BY:

Thomas E. Anderson
Registration No. 37,063

TEA/vrp

Hunton & Williams LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-1109
Telephone: (202) 955-1500
Facsimile: (202) 778-2201

Date: March 23, 2006